

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges
525 Vine Street - Suite 900
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202



DATE: AUG 26 1996

CASE NO.: 94-OFC-13

In the Matter of

OFFICE OF FEDERAL CONTRACT COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Plaintiff

v.

PROVIDENT BANKCORP, INC.

Defendant

ORDER OF POSTPONEMENT

This case is presently scheduled to be heard on September 9, 1996 here in Cincinnati, Ohio. On August 15, 1996, there was filed in this office a Joint Notice of Settlement in Principle. The Joint Notice is signed by Kenneth Walton, counsel for the plaintiff, and Lawrence J. Barty, counsel for defendant. The notice advises as to agreement concerning a total monetary settlement and also outlines a general basis for disposition of other contested issues. The parties request a continuance of this case for sixty days in order to provide counsel additional time to conclude and submit for filing a final settlement agreement.

Following due consideration of the postponement request, IT IS ORDERED that the hearing scheduled to commence in this case on September 9, 1996 is hereby continued generally. The parties are provided sixty (60) days from the date of this Order to file settlement documents. The sole purpose of the continuation of this case is to provide the parties additional time within which to finalize agreement on all issues and to conclude the preparation of the settlement documents.

I deem it essential to complete the administrative handling of this case as quickly as possible. Therefore, I expect the sixty day time extension to be honored. In addition, counsel are directed to submit a written statement to me thirty days following the date of this order advising as to the status. I expect the parties to continue to engage in meaningful discussions and conclude this agreement as quickly as possible. I will make myself available to counsel at any time in the interest of expediting this process should problems develop.

Rudolf L Jansen
Administrative Law Judge